

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

hov NL 010037 4799
EXAMINER
S FILE, ERIN M
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2634

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<i>\</i> K	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/046,633	GOROKHOV ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erin M. File	2634
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ıly 2005.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3, 8-25 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 4-7 and 26-29 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2002 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/046,633

Art Unit: 2634

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brink.

Claims 1, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 23, Brink discloses a digital transmission system and method (title) in which a multilevel signal is transmitted (abstract). The transmitter, as shown in figure 4, comprises an M:1 mapper (8), in which M bits are grouped together and mapped onto a complex signal constellation (col. 7, lines 4, 5). The receiver, also shown in figure 4, comprises a demapper (10) for demapping the received multilevel signal. The multilevel signal according to the signal constellation, wherein the signal constellation comprises a number of signal points with corresponding labels (fig. 5-7). Brink fails to disclose a constellation mapping of 2<sup>m</sup> signal points with labels of length m, where D<sub>a</sub>>D<sub>f</sub>, with D<sub>a</sub> being the minimum of the Euclidean distances between all pairs of signal points whose corresponding labels differ in a single position, and with D<sub>f</sub> being

Application/Control Number: 10/046,633

Art Unit: 2634

the minimum of the Euclidean distances between all pairs of signal points. However, Alamouti discloses a signal constellation of 16 ( $2^4$ ) signal points of 4 bit length labels in which the minimum Euclidean distance between points is  $\sqrt{2.5}$  –2, or approximately 0.5811. The minimum distance between points whose labels differ by one position is 1, therefore Alamouti discloses  $2^m$  signal points with labels of length m, where  $D_a > D_f$ , as described above. The use of a constellation mapping in which the adjacent symbols vary by more than one position reduces the bit error rate. Brink's invention discloses that it stores and uses multiple constellation mappings (col. 6, lines 18-25) that lead to the least number of errors, therefore it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use Alamouti's signal constellation in Brink's invention.

Claims 2, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, the further limitation of D<sub>a</sub> having a maximum value is met by the constellation diagram (fig. 1B) disclosed by Alamouti described in Claim 1.

Claim 3, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, the hamming distance equals the number of bits in any given character that must be changed to produce another legitimate character. The new limitations introduced into independent claim 1, of 2<sup>m</sup> signal points with labels of length m, in addition to the limitation of the minimum of the Euclidean distances between all pairs of signal points whose corresponding labels differ in a single position being greater than the minimum of the Euclidean distances between all pairs of signal points, makes the minimum distance available greater than the minimum Euclidean

Art Unit: 2634

distance. The signal constellation diagram (fig. 1B) disclosed by Alamouti as described in Claim 1 meets these limitations.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-7, 26-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/046,633

Art Unit: 2634

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 5

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin M. File

9/15/2005

JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINI

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600